UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,810	09/29/2003	Andrew John Farnsworth	1578.619(PUS-1155)	2209
54120 RESEARCH IN	7590 06/17/200 N MOTION	EXAMINER		
ATTN: GLEND		VU, MICHAEL T		
BUILDING 6, BRAZOS EAST, SUITE 100 5000 RIVERSIDE DRIVE IRVING, TX 75039			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

portfolioprosecution@rim.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/673,810	FARNSWORTH, ANDREW JOHN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL T. VU	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ction is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiveu au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/673,810 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/17/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. <u>Claims 1-2, 4, 8-9, 11, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as</u>
 <u>being unpatentable over Rune (US 2002/0115460) in view of Sarkkinen (US 2003/0119533), and further in view of Sharma (see Provisional Application No. 60/486,584), or Pub. No.: US 2005/0009527.</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/673,810

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claims 1, 8, and 16, Rune teaches a method of processing messages received by user equipment from a network (Figure #1, User Equipment #30), the method comprising: receiving a message at the User Equipment that indicates that the User Equipment should move into a dedicated channel state (DCH, [0012-0013, 0021, 0067-0068]), wherein the message is one of the following: a Cell Update Confirm message or a Universal Mobile Telecommunication System Terrestrial Access Network Registration Area ([0006, 0021], UMTS), Update Confirm message or a Radio Resource Control Connection setup message [0006, 0021, 0055-0056]; and wherein when the message includes a new cell identifier [0004-0008], not storing the User Equipment any record of the cell identifier included in the message (inherently, no stored is no data, [0023-0027, 0036-0038, 0063-0069]); and

Page 3

But Rune does not clearly teach optionally sending a response message receivable by the network, after the UE is cleared of any record of a cell identifier.

However, Sarkkinen teaches an optionally sending a response message receivable by the network (Figures 1-4, [0005-0009]), after the UE is cleared of any record of a cell identifier [0034, 0041-0042, 0077].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rune, with Sarkkinen's teaching, in order to keep track of user equipment locations for multicast services including update message when the user equipment detects a multicast and allowing the Radio Network Controller to keep a record of the location of the device for managing the radio resources etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,810

Page 4

Art Unit: 2617

But Rune and Sarkkinen do not explicitly teach in response to the message, clearing from the device any record of a cell identifier before moving to the dedicated channel state.

However, Sharma teaches in response to the message (See page 3 line 1-10), clearing from the device any record of a cell identifier before moving to the dedicated channel state (See pages 2-3), and (page 6 line 20 to page 8 line 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rune and Sarkkinen's, with Sharma's system, in order to provide a transition state or transition channel to implement the transitioning for controlling the radio resources in various states such as a temporary identifier, and providing the efficiently managing of the paging channel in the wireless communication networks e.g., UMTS network that controlling the allocating resources to the user equipment etc.

Regarding claims 2, 9, and 17, Rune, Sarkkinen, and Sharma teach the method according to claim 1, wherein the dedicated channel is a Cell_DCH channel [0047-0052, 0055-0063] of Sarkkinen.

Regarding claims 4, 11, and 18, Rune, Sarkkinen, and Sharma teach the method according to claim 1, wherein the cell identifier is a Cell Radio Network Temporary Identifier (See page 2 line 10 to page 3 line 22) of Sharma.

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL T. VU whose telephone number is (571)272-8131. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles N. Appiah can be reached on 571-272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MICHAEL T VU/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617 Application/Control Number: 10/673,810

Page 6

Art Unit: 2617